



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/531,598 | 11/25/2005 | Anders Pettersson | 9404.208.34 | 3677 |
| 26308 7590 06/09/2009 RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C. POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226 | | | EXAMINER YOUNG, MICAH PAUL | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1618 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/09/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/531,598

Applicant(s)

PETERSSON ET AL.

Examiner

MICAHA-PAUL YOUNG

Art Unit

1618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICAHA-PAUL YOUNG.(3) Thomas Lundquist, Nimish B Vakil.(2) Dameron Jones.(4) Daniel Ryan.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: A brief background of the state of the prior art from the perspective of one of ordinary skill.

Claim(s) discussed: 49.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Aplicant presented the state of the prior art outlined in the Declaration submitted. The Examiner made suggestions to clarify the claims and overcome possible 112 1st and 2nd rejections. Applicant is encouraged to file an amendments reflecting the proposed amendments while the Examiner considers the Declaration and other submitted documents. The Examiner will contact Applicant regarding further rejections or allowable material.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MICAHA-PAUL YOUNG/
Examiner, Art Unit 1618